UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/791,669	03/03/2004	Fumiko Shiraishi	Q80181	2713
23373 7590 11/26/2007 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			EXAMINER	
			SHEEHAN, JOHN P	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON	N, DC 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1793	
	•	•		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/26/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/791,669	SHIRAISHI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
·	John P. Sheehan	1793			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	ne correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply built apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS and application to become ABANDE.	FION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 September 2007</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under to	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3-8, 10, 11, 13, 14, 1</u>		8 is/are withdrawn from			
consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,9,12,15,18,21 and 24</u> is/are rejec	ted.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to by t	he Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is	s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Of	fice Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in Appli	cation No			
Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have been rec	eived in this National Stage			
application from the International Burea					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not rec	eived.			
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Sumr Paper No(s)/Ma	nary (PTO-413) ail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		nal Patent Application			

Application/Control Number:

10/791,669 Art Unit: 1793

DETAILED ACTION

Claims and the Amendment Submitted January 19, 2007

1. It is noted that the listing of the claims submitted January 19, 2007 does not properly label the status of each of the claims in that non-elected claims 3-8, 10, 11, 13, 14, 16, 17, 19, 20, 22, 23 and 25-28 have not been labeled as withdrawn. To be responsive, applicants, in their next response, regardless whether or not the claims are amended, should submit a complete listing of the claims with the proper labels.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 9,12,15,18, 21 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murray et al. (Murray '119, US Patent Application Publication No. US 2001/0009119) taken in view of Denbigh (each of these references are cited by the Examiner in this Office action).

Murray '119 teaches a method of manufacturing a CuAu type magnetic particle (page 1, paragraphs 0009 and 0011) comprising, forming an alloy particle and converting the alloy particle to a magnetic particle (page 1, paragraph 0012 and Figure

Application/Control Number:

10/791,669 Art Unit: 1793

Ė

10, Step 106). The formation of the alloy particle includes supplying a plurality of reactants to a reaction vessel and mixing the reactants to cause the reactants to react with each other (page 1, paragraph 0012).

Denbigh teaches that proper mixing of the reactants in a reactor is essential and that improper mixing can result in dead space (page 8, the first paragraph under the heading, 1.5 The continuous stirred tank reactor (C.S.T.R.), that is, Denbigh teaches that mixing is a result effective variable.

The claims and Murray '119 differ in that Murray '119 is silent with respect to the specifics of the mixing of the reactants.

However, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have considered the invention to have been obvious because as taught by Denbigh reactant mixing is a result effective variable and the optimization of a result effective variable such as the mixing in Murray '119's process is obvious, In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955), and see MPEP 2144.05, IIA, for complete discussion of this principle.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John P. Sheehan whose telephone number is (571) 272-1249. The examiner can normally be reached on T-F (7:30-5:00) Second Monday Off.

10/791,669 Art Unit: 1793

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on (571) 272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

John P. Sheehan Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1793

JPS